THE CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

May 28, 2008 Staff Report

REQUEST FOR A QUALIFIED PRIVATE ACTIVITY BOND ALLOCATION FOR A QUALIFIED RESIDENTIAL RENTAL PROJECT

Prepared by Richard C. Fischer.

Applicant: California Statewide Communities Development Authority

Allocation Amount Requested: Tax-exempt \$30,000,000

[Taxable Debt: <u>\$6,500,000</u>]

Project Name: Bear Valley Ranch Apartments

Project Address: West of Mesa Valley Drive & north of Bear Valley Road

Project City, County, Zip Code: Victorville, San Bernardino, 92392

The proposed Project is located in a Community Revitalization Area, more specifically the Victor Valley Redevelopment Project Area.

Project Sponsor Information:

Name: Bear Valley Ranch, LLC (Saman Development, LLC)

Principals: Nathan Ahdoot and Shahram Elyaszadeh

Project Financing Information:

Bond Counsel: Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe, LLP

Underwriter: Citibank, N.A.

Credit Enhancement Provider: Citibank, N.A.

TEFRA Hearing: April 22, 2008

Description of Proposed Project:

State Ceiling Pool: General

Total Number of Units: 326, plus 2 manager units

Type: New Construction

Type of Units: Family

Description of Public Benefits:

Percent of Restricted Rental Units in the Project: 100%

10% (33 units) restricted to 50% or less of area median income households; and 90% (293units) restricted to 60% or less of area median income households.

Unit Mix: 1-, 2-, and 3-bedrooms

Term of Restrictions: 55 years

Estimated Total Development Cost:	\$44,529,615
Estimated I otal Development Cost.	ΨΤΤ,547,015

Estimated Hard Costs per Unit: \$ 79,755 (\$26,000,000/326 units) **Estimated per Unit Cost:** \$ 136,594 (\$44,529,615/326 units) **Allocation per Unit:** \$ 92,025 (\$30,000,000/326 units)

Allocation per Restricted Rental Unit: \$ 92,025 (\$30,000,000/326 restricted units)

~ ^-	~ .	_
Sources of Funds:	<u>Construction</u>	<u>Permanent</u>
Tax-Exempt Bond Proceeds	\$30,000,000	\$27,700,000
Taxable Bond Proceeds	\$ 6,500,000	\$ 0
Deferred Developer Fee	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 2,377,798
LIH Tax Credit Equity	\$ 1,502,243	\$10,424,445
Direct & Indirect Public Funds	\$ 4,027,372	\$ 4,027,372
Total Sources	\$44,529,615	\$44,529,615
Uses of Funds:		
Land Purchase	\$ 8,400,000	
Hard Construction Costs	\$26,000,000	
Architect & Engineering Fees	\$ 300,000	
Contractor Orienhand & Drafit	\$ 2,000,000	

Architect & Engineering Fees \$ 300,000

Contractor Overhead & Profit \$ 2,000,000

Developer Fee \$ 2,500,000

Cost of Issuance \$ 650,000

Capitalized Interest \$ 1,700,000

Other Soft Costs \$ 2,979,615

Total Uses \$ \$44,529,615

Legal Questionnaire:

The Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the application. No information was disclosed to question the financial viability or legal integrity of the Applicant.

Total Points: 75.7 out of 128

[See Attachment A]

Recommendation:

Staff recommends that the Committee approve \$30,000,000 in tax-exempt bond allocation.

ATTACHMENT A

EVALUATION SCORING:

	Maximum	Maximum	
	Points Allowed	Points Allowed	
Point Criteria	for Non-Mixed	for Mixed	Points Scored
	Income	Income	
	Projects	Projects	
Federally Assisted At-Risk Project or HOPE			
VI Project	20	20	0
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions:			
Non-Mixed Income Project	35	15	25
Mixed Income Project			
Gross Rents	5	5	5
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions			
[Allowed if 10 pts not awarded above in	[10]	[10]	0
Federally Assisted At-Risk Project or HOPE			
VI Project]			
-			
Large Family Units	5	5	0
Leveraging	10	10	7.7
Community Positalization Area	15	15	15
Community Revitalization Area	13	13	13
Site Amenities	10	10	0
Service Amenities	10	10	5
Systemakla Dwilding Mathada	8	o	o
Sustainable Building Methods	8	8	8
New Construction	10	10	10
Negative Points	NA	NA	NA
Total Points	120	100	75.7
Total Points	128	108	75.7

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.